COPYRIGHT ARBITRATION ROYALTY PANEL

+ + + + +

LIBRARY OF CONGRESS

+ + + + +

PREHEARING CONFERENCE

IN THE MATTER OF:

SATELLITE RATE ADJUSTMENT

DOCKET NO. 96-3 CARP-5RA

Tuesday, March 4, 1997

CARP Hearing Room LM414 Library of Congress 101 Independence Ave., S.E. Washington, D.C. 20540

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing, pursuant to notice, at 10:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE LEWIS HALL GRIFFITH, Chairperson

THE HONORABLE JOHN W. COOLEY

THE HONORABLE JEFFREY S. GULIN

ALSO PRESENT:

William Roberts, Copyright General Counsel, CARP Tanya Sandros Vivian Roque-Balboa

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



APPEARANCES:

On behalf of The Office of the Commissioner of Baseball:

KATHLEEN A. BEHAN, ESQ.
ROBERT ALAN GARRETT, ESQ.
GARY GREENSTEIN, ESQ.
Arnold & Porter
555 Twelfth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-1202
202/942-5444

On behalf of the Joint Sports Claimants:

PHILIP R. HOCHBERG, ESQ.
Verner, Lipfert, Bernhard, McPherson & Hand
901 15th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-2301
202/371-6244

On behalf of the Public Television Claimants:

MICHELE J. WOODS, ESQ.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C 20044-7566
202/662-5347

On behalf of National Broadcasting Company, Inc.:

DIANE ZIPURSKY, ESQ.
NBC, Inc.
1299 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
11th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20004
202/637-4535

NEAL R. GROSS

APPEARANCES: (CONT.)

On behalf of Capital Cities/ABC, Inc.:

ROGER GOODSPEED, ESQ.
ABC, Inc.
77 West 66th Street
New York, New York 10023-6298
212/456-7593

On behalf of The Devotional Claimants:

BARRY GOTTFRIED, ESQ.
Fisher, Wayland, Cooper, Leder & Zaragoza, LLP
2001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Suite 400
Washington, D.C. 20006-1851
202/659-3494

<u>and</u>

JOHN H. MIDLEN, JR., ESQ. Chartered 3238 Prospect Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007-3214 Washington, D.C. 20007-3214 202/333-1500

On behalf of ASCAP:

BEVERLY A. WILLETT, ESQ. ASCAP One Lincoln Plaza New York, New York 10023 212/621-6289

On behalf of Satellite Broadcasting & Communications Association:

PAUL GLIST, ESQ.
JOHN D. SEIVER, ESQ.
Cole, Raywid & Braverman, LLP
1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
202/659-9750

NEAL R. GROSS

<u>APPEARANCES</u>: (CONT.)

On behalf of BMI, Inc.:

MICHAEL J. REMINGTON, ESQ. Drinker, Biddle & Reath 901 15th Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20005 202/842-8800

On behalf of Satellite Broadcasting Communications Association:

ANDY PAUL, ESQ. SBCA 225 Reinekers Lane, Suite 600 Alexandria, VA 22314 703/549-6990

On behalf of Prime Time 24:

C. TODD HARDY, ESQ. Hardy & Ellison, P.C. 9306 Old Keene Mill Road Suite 100 Burke, VA 22015

On behalf of National Rural Telecommunications Cooperative:

JOAN KEISER NRECA 4301 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 703/907-5817

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(10:00 a.m.)

MR. ROBERTS: I think just about everyone is here. Good morning, everyone. For those of you who do not know me, I am Bill Roberts, Senior Attorney of the Copyright Office for Compulsory Licenses. It is my distinct honor and privilege to in essence throw out the first pitch today and get this proceeding underway.

I have here today three very distinguished Judges who will serve as your Arbitrators. Your Chairperson is Lewis Griffith, sitting in the center. To Judge Griffith's left is Jeffrey Gulin.

THE HON. GULIN: Good morning.

MR. ROBERTS: And to Judge Griffith's right is Jack Cooley and they will be, of course, serving as your Arbitrators.

For those of you who are not all together familiar with our CARP proceedings, the Office has conducted the precontroversy discovery phase. We have issued all necessary rulings and orders in this proceeding and our task as of this moment right now is

over until the hearing testimony is taken and the three Arbitrators write their decision at which time, of course, the decision will come back to us for the Librarian's review.

a result of that, you should be directing your attention to our three Arbitrators, however, if you have procedural questions, questions about timing, when certain pleadings are due, you Office, the Copyright should contact at us specifically Tanya Sandros who is sitting in the back And she has done an of the room. Thank you, Tanya. in helping the formation of this excellent job proceeding and getting it ready for this stage, the actual hearings. And again if you have any procedural questions, you should direct them to Tanya and if Tanya needs to get in contact with Judge Griffith or any of the other Arbitrators, she will do so and get back to you with some information.

We also have a secretary that will be here on a part-time basis. Her name is Vivian Roque-Balboa. She is sitting out front right now. She will be glad to take any messages for you and handle any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

pleadings or motions, etcetera, papers that you may 1 She will also be assisting the need to file. 2 Arbitrators in their daily work, including the listing 3 and numbering of exhibits. 4 I think that about covers it. As you are 5 aware today is, in essence, a pre-conference hearing, 6 to set the schedule for this proceeding, to discussing 7 billing and payment of these gentlemen as well as any 8 other matters that you may be interested in raising 9 10 today. anyone have 11 So unless does any I wish everyone well and 12 questions of me? Very good. I guess we will be seeing you or at least hearing from 13 you in six months when it comes time to file petitions 14 to modify the decision. 15 16 (Laughter) Judge Griffith, I turn it over to you and 17 thank you very much. 18 All right, thank CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 19 I'm going to begin in a formal matter you very much. 20 by swearing the reporter, if I may, please. Would you 21 raise your right hand? 22

(Whereupon, the Court Reporter was sworn.) 1 Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. As 2 Bill indicated, my name is Lewis Griffith. 3 retired Judge, well, being retired, why am I here? 4 I'm with the 19th Judicial Circuit which is Fairfax 5 County, Virginia, retired as a Chief Judge of that 6 Circuit in 1990. I have been doing arbitrations and 7 mediations in addition to sitting as a Judge Designate 8 and with the Court of Appeals of Virginia since late 9 10 fall of 1990. Judge Gulin, I'm going to ask you very 11 briefly to identify yourself. 12 THE HON. GULIN: Well, I'll certainly be 13 Obviously, my name is Jeffrey Gulin. 14 much briefer. 15 I'm a former Administrative Law Judge until very recently with the State of Maryland, hearing all of 16 the Agency cases for that state. 17 18 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, Judge Cooley? 19 THE HON. COOLEY: Yes, I'm Jack Cooley. 20 I'm a former Federal Magistrate in Chicago and I've 21 been doing dispute resolution as a sole practitioner 22

since 1984. 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: With that said, you 2 now know who your panelists are and we are somewhat 3 interested in knowing who you are. So I would ask, if 4 you will, please, let's begin on the left here. If 5 you will simply stand and identify yourself and tell 6 us who you represent. We don't promise to remember 7 each of you and your parties, however, it would be 8 9 helpful to know. Start with you, please, sir? 10 Your Honor, my name is MR. HOCHBERG: 11 Philip Hochberg. And I am co-counsel for the Joint 12 Sports Claimants. 13 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 14 MR. GREENSTEIN: Your Honor, Garv 15 Greenstein for the Joint Sports Claimants. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you. 17 MS. BEHAN: Your Honor, Kathleen Behan for 18 the Joint Sports Claimants. 19 MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, Bob Garrett for 20 the Joint Sports Claimants. 21 Thank you. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 22

1	MS. WOODS: Michelle Woods of Covington &
2	Burling for the Public Broadcasting Service
3	representing the Public Television Claimants.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you.
5	MR. GLIST: Your Honor, I'm Paul Glist wit
6	Cole Raywid & Braverman, representing the SBCA and the
7	Carriers. These are the parties who pay the royalties
8	which are being established to this CARP.
9	MR. SEIVER: Your Honor, John Seiver, also
10	with Cole Raywid and for the Carriers and the SBCA.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you.
12	MR. PAUL: I'm Andy Paul and I'm the
13	senior vice president of the Satellite Broadcasting
14	Communications Association, these gentlemen referred
15	to the SBCA.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you. Second
17	row?
18	MS. ZIPURSKY: Diane Zipursky from NBC.
19	MR. GOODSPEED: Roger Goodspeed from ABC.
20	MR. GOTTFRIED: Barry Gottfried from
21	Devotional Claimants.
22	MR. MIDLEN: John Midlen for the

1	Devotional Claimants.
2	MR. REMINGTON: Mike Remington from the
3	law firm of Drinker Biddle & Reath on behalf of
4	Broadcast Music, Inc.
5	MS. WILLETT: Beverly Willett on behalf of
6	the American Society of Composers, Authors and
7	Publishers.
8	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you. Next
9	row.
10	MS. DAVIS: Jacqueline Davis from Crowell
11	and Moring on behalf of the Broadcasters Claimants
12	Group.
13	MS. HERRERA: Jessica Herrera, also with
14	Broadcasters Claimants.
15	MR. STEWART: Your Honor, John Stewart,
16	Crowell & Moring for Broadcasters.
17	MR. COLLINS: John Collins for Program
18	Suppliers.
19	MR. MEYER: Your Honor, Chris Meyer for
20	American Sky Broadcasting.
21	MR. HOOVER: Your Honor, Craig Hoover,
22	Hogan & Hartson, American Sky Broadcasting.

Jacqueline Your Honor, MS. CLEARY: 1 Cleary, Hogan & Hartson for ASkyB. 2 Honor, Your DONOGHUE: 3 MS. Donoghue from Hogan & Hartson for ASkyB. 4 Your Honor, Todd Hardy of MR. HARDY: 5 Hardy & Ellison for PrimeTime 24, Satellite Carriers. 6 There were no seats over there. 7 8 (Laughter.) CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right. Thank 9 Although my colleagues on the panel 10 you very much. object to this, I have determined that the first item 11 we must discuss with you is the compensation for the 12 Arbitrators, their fees and expenses as such. 13 briefly yesterday Wе met 14 orientation meeting with the Copyright Office staff 15 and we have followed that up with a meting after that, 16 among ourselves, and one of the first issues to be 17 addressed, of course, is the manner in which your 18 Arbitrators are going to be compensated, that is, as 19 to their fees and their expenses. 20 I have the impression that perhaps there's 21 been some discussion among you. If that is so, do you 22

have any proposal that you would like to make to us concerning the matter in which the Arbitrators are to be compensated?

Your Honor, John Stewart. MR. STEWART: Your Honor, I've been asked to present the position of We have coordinated the Copyright Owner parties. We've not discussed the details of among ourselves. this with the Satellite Carriers side, but we would propose first that we split the cost 50-50 and then secondly with respect to the procedure we would follow the same procedure that the we Copyright Owners parties followed in the 1990 to 1992 cable distribution CARP which was heard last year and that is simply that the Arbitrators, the chair of the CARP would present to the parties shortly after the end of each month a statement showing the fees and Then for the Copyright Owners side we would seek from the Copyright Office a partial distribution of the royalties that are already on deposit and arrange to have payment made of the invoice of our half of the invoice within 30 days after receiving it.

We've not discussed, as I said, a proposal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

to split it 50-50 and I don't know whether there's any 1 objection from the other side with respect to that. 2 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okav. 3 MR. GLIST: Your Honor, if you would give 4 me one moment to prepare. Your Honor, that proposal 5 is acceptable to us if it meets your pleasure. 6 Now that we have CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 7 your proposal let me give you ours. 8 (Laughter.) 9 And then let's see, maybe take a very 10 brief recess and see if we can't -- I think close on 11 this and see if we can't resolve this issue in a 12 13 hurry. We discussed yesterday the proposal some 14 of escrow account with the 15 an type contributing equally, that would be 50-50 between the 16 We feel the amount which Owners and the Carriers. 17 would be sufficient to cover the necessary expenses, 18 all of the expenses and the fees of the Arbitrators 19 would be approximately \$125,000 per month. 20 Arbitrators will submit monthly statements of our fees 21 Those would be our accrued fees and and expenses. 22

parties

expenses. We would submit those through Ms. Tanya Sandros of the Copyright Office here who would in turn present them to you. We would submit those on the last day of each month when we are in session. For instance, this month if we anticipate being in session probably up through March 27th, Friday is Good Friday, and we would submit the bill to you at that particular time. We understand the Section 251-54 provides for the 30-day payment. We request payment in 10 days for your consideration after receipt of our statements and so forth.

As I stated before, those are the accrued fees and expenses which we have incurred at that time. Do you have any response that you would like to make to that directly or would you like to have an opportunity to discuss that and see if we can -- I think we're pretty much in the same -- we have not considered the possibility of these fees and expenses being paid from a royalty fund or the royalty fund as such. I don't think we have any objection to that if indeed that's available to do so.

Do you want a few minutes to discuss that?

Okay, why don't we take about 10 minutes 1 or so and see if we can't get that resolved. Thank 2 you. 3 (Off the record.) 4 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Yes, thank you. 5 We've had the opportunity to MR. GLIST: 6 confer among each group and together. We're in a 7 slightly dissimilar position in that the Joint Sports 8 and MTA and so forth are able to advance distributions 9 out of an existing royalty pool in order to accelerate 10 payments in ways that our side which is a group of, a 11 coalition of carriers was certainly not anticipating 12 they would need to do. 13 like suggest What we'd to 14 understand, at least I think the concern is that you 15 do not want to be at risk as a panel for some 16 nonpayment as time accrues. 17 Judge Cooley is CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 18 Illinois and Judge Gulie is from 19 from Evanston, Baltimore, Maryland. They are fronting the expenses 20 they incur for transportation and staying here in a 21 hotel. 22

MR. GLIST: Of course, and what we would 1 like to suggest is that although the billing should be 2 as was originally proposed at the end of the month to 3 be paid after billing to advance the payment to 20 4 days from the billing and in addition to that, our 5 side has the authority today to arrange for a payment 6 of \$25,000 into an escrow so that there would be some 7 pool and we would assume that the other side could 8 match that -- there would be some pool to make certain 9 that the expenses that are being incurred up front are 10 not carried by you all. 11 So that would be our proposal for trying 12 to bridge the gap. 13 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 14 STEWART: 15

All right, yes.

Yes, Your Honor, we do appreciate the difficult position that the Carriers We are able to help the Copyright Office on the Satellite funds that have been on deposit since 1992 which we have not had distributed to us. of that, we are able to accommodate your original request and we'd be happy to accommodate the Carriers and support their proposal.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

This is the first we've heard of 1 additional immediate deposit to cover expenses, but we 2 would be happy to do whatever is necessary 3 accommodate the interests of the Panel. 4 All right. Ιt CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 5 occurs to me we have an agreement then as to how the 6 7 Arbitrators are going to be paid. Why don't one of you recite that for the 8 record so that I --9 MR. GLIST: The understanding is that each 10 side, the Carriers on the one side and the Copyright 11 Owners, Joint Support and so forth on the other side 12 would advance \$25,000 into an escrow fund which could 13 be drawn on by the Panel for on-going expenses at this 14 time, that at the end of a month in which the hearing 1.5 16 is underway, a bill would be submitted by the Panel and half of that bill would be paid by each side 17 18 within 20 days. I just want to make sure 19 THE HON. GULIN: we understand that we don't intend, at least I didn't 20 intend to draw upon this fund during the course of the 21 We'll be submitting our bill at the end of the 22

month, in due course, each and every month. 1 The reason we had proposed some kind of an 2 of frankly, was just for fund, 3 timeliness so that at the end of each month when the 4 bills are submitted, it would be an easy matter to 5 draw from that escrow fund which is why we suggested 6 Twenty days is certainly a period of 10 days. 7 agreeable, but do I understand that this fund will be 8 replenished each and every month? 9 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Yes. 10 THE HON. GULIN: Or is this a one-time --11 MR. GLIST: We had intended it as a one 12 time because the on-going fees and expenses would be 13 billed and paid in ordinary course. 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I don't think we 15 even need a one-time fund for the first month. 16 That's --THE HON. GULIN: No. 17 If there's CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 18 agreement to pay them. 19 MR. STEWART: That's fine, Your Honor. 20 just wanted to point out that during the Cable 21 distribution proceedings we had no difficulty in 22

achieving timely payment of the invoices. 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 2 We can provide you with MR. STEWART: 3 copies of the statements that were provided in that 4 case if it will be useful as an example of an amount. 5 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, I'm sure 6 we'll have access to those if we want to take a look 7 So then the understanding is that the 8 at them. will be paid their fee which Arbitrators 9 10 encouraging --(Laughter.) 11 -- that we will submit our bills at --12 let's just say at the end of the month for the accrued 13 fees and expenses for that particular month, that they 14 will be paid 50-50 between the Owners and the Carriers 15 within 20 days from the time that you receive them. 16 We will submit them through the Copyright Office, 17 Tanya Sandros, and she will, in turn, submit them to 18 the parties. 19 Agreeable? All right. 20 21 The second item I have on -- a substantive item that I have on our agenda today is the scheduling 22

of these proceedings. Once again at our meeting, we have discussed this and we are interested first to know if you have any proposals or proposal concerning the schedule of this proceeding or would you prefer to hear what we have discussed first? MS. BEHAN: Your Honor, I'm Kathleen Behan for the Joint Sports Claimants. It might be helpful for all of us, although we've had many discussions, there's also, we understand, some unavailability issues from the Panel's perspective and there may be

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

So while we have discussed some from our perspective. proposals among our side, the Copyright Owners, it might be helpful to hear what you have to say first.

All right, good. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: we have, what we would propose to you received our basically this. Number one, we appointment just a short time ago at which time we were sent some basic information which we have been involved in reviewing. We met yesterday, the third of March, in an orientation hearing with Bill Roberts who was here this morning and Tanya Sandros concerning the -- how these Panels operate.

I have served on one CARP Panel previous to this so have just a very basic understanding. It was hearing that was determined on a document proceeding and there wasn't anything very extensive about it. So we're sort of new at this.

Today, we are having our preliminary status conference and taking care of all of these mundane issues. We would propose this then, that we begin this proceeding on Monday, the 17th day of March, that we would go each week, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday. We would not have a session on Fridays of the week unless that was necessary.

We would start at 10 o'clock in the morning. We would go until 1 o'clock. We wold have a one hour lunch break until 2. We would begin at 2 o'clock and go until 4:30. The Arbitrators when would meet each day at 4:30 in a quote executive session, just for our benefit to keep on top of what it is that you have presented to us, minimum of 30 minutes at that time.

We anticipate that there would be a one week break between the presentation of your direct

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

cases and your rebuttal cases.

We anticipate also that the Register of Copyrights is likely to want this hearing room for the first full week in May, whatever week that is. We would be interested in hearing if you had some other type of space available where we might continue these proceedings that week and not have to give up a week simply for the benefit of the person who owns this place, Copyright Register.

We would not anticipate any other -- that if there were any other breaks in the -- that they wold be very minimal.

We understand that there are approximately 19 witnesses to be presented, I think, in the direct We tried to estimate yesterday, our hope is cases. this, that we would conclude that some time in mid to late May, then we would have the rebuttal proceedings. The matter would end and we would have and we would call on you to provide us with your findings of facts and conclusions of law and we'll set the time periods that and then the appropriate for we, as Arbitrators, would begin making our decision and in a

timely fashion present that to the Copyright Office to 1 be issued. 2 We have 100 total, as I understand the 3 regulations, 180 days from yesterday, which I think 4 Tanya told me yesterday comes out to August 29th. In 5 order to completely determine this case, we would like 6 to do so before then. 7 Now with that said, would you like to 8 9 respond? Actually, we may need to BEHAN: 10 question have one with our Ι 11 clarification though in terms of the rebuttal case. 12 You said that there would be one week between the 13 cases, would that be one week before the submission or 14 written rebuttal case since you anticipate that or? 15 That was suggested CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 16 yesterday, if I recall correctly, by Tanya, who is not 17 in the room, that normally there is a week. I don't 18 know why we need to take a week off, do you? 19 MS. BEHAN: I think normally you take much 20 more, you take up to a month and then two weeks of 21 discovery, submission of cases and then preparation 22

time prior to the hearing. I think it's been a month 1 in the Cable proceeding so I think we may need a 2 little more time, actually, than that. 3 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I don't think we 4 have any problem with the time period. If you tell us 5 what you need and as long as it isn't going to 6 jeopardize us finishing these proceedings within the 7 statutory rules, the time period provided by the 8 rules, I don't think we'll have any problem with that 9 10 at all. I think it makes sense for us MS. BEHAN: 11 to take a moment and discuss it. 12 You're going to CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 13 have the findings of fact and conclusions of law as 14 15 well after rebuttal. Do you want us to take another 10 minutes or so? Five minutes? 16 (Off the record.) 17 Your Honor, the Copyright 18 MS. BEHAN: Owners could basically live with the schedule proposed 19 by the Panel with one minor modification which is we 20 do have a witness conflict, in fact, our first witness 21 who is unavailable on the 17th and the 18th, so we'd 22

like to start the 19th, but again, we essentially can live with the schedule. However, we do understand that the Satellite Carriers do have some other conflicts in the last two weeks of April. Our concern about the dates that they are going to propose is that it may push back the case substantially, given the need for rebuttal case and factual findings, so that factual findings aren't done until the end of July, if you count out all the days that we anticipate needing. But again, our preference is to go ahead and start on the 19th.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.

MR. GLIST: Your Honor, we did try to work out with Ms. Behan a joint proposal, so let me just lay out what our concerns are and the schedule that we would be more comfortable with.

As you know, we were prepared to go forward starting now. We know that's not realistic given the overall timing. We could also begin on the 18th and go the 18th, 19th and 20th. We had blocked off for our witnesses and our counsel the days that we thought would be consumed with direct which would have

begun during this week.

I had most of our witnesses and Mr. Paul, who is general counsel at SBCA, are expected to be at their National Satellite Convention during the week of the 24th. That was also the week I had scheduled for family vacation. I will cancel my vacation, if necessary. Mr. Paul will abbreviate his presence at his convention, if necessary. We would prefer to block that out, if possible.

In the following week, I have a -- for 10 years I have taught Cable and Cable Copyright at the Practicing Law Institute. I have an obligation to be in San Francisco on that Thursday and Friday, the 3rd and 4th of April to teach that class and we would be prepared, we are assuming that there would be no hearing on Easter Monday, that we would be prepared to have hearings on the 1st and 2nd, although we might have to end at 3 o'clock instead of 4:30 on the 2nd. And we would then be prepared from the 7th of April on with a clean schedule for our witnesses.

I understand that there is some concern that might push out the ending date. Frankly, when we

had estimated, budgeted time, we thought that the case 1 could be done in substantially less time than has been 2 talked about here, but if there is a concern about 3 missing the particular dates that we are seeking to 4 protect, we think that the rebuttal phase could follow 5 after only one week for written submission, one week 6 for discovery and we go to hearing, we think that 7 would make it up and we think that would accommodate 8 the various interests of the parties. 9 We would also offer that there is a second 10 concern that I understand from Ms. Behan that she 11 prefers not to have the presentation of the Owners 12 direct case interrupted by these various blackout 13 dates which is understandable. We would start on 14 April 7th and go all the way through, but we did not 15 want to throw out possible hearing dates completely. 16 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Do you want to 17 18 respond? I'll just say that it is MS. BEHAN: Yes. 19 very important to us not to have our case split up. 20 I think it's critical and I don't think it makes sense 21 for the Panel either to have the case split up in a 22

way that is not coherent and we would very much like to begin this case. We're anxious to start. been trying to work out conflicts. We have gone back, we have a lot of attorneys on this side and lots of the same conflicts, οf some have people do some witnesses are going to the same conferences, There's another conference that starts conferences. the 7th that actually some of our witnesses are going to be at, so there are conflicts.

We're a little bit concerned about changing the hearing dates for the conflict of one person. That being said it's most critical to us that we be able to present our case effectively so I think that provided that the Panel come to some reasonable decision on what kind of conflicts are critical and what are not critical, are critical belief is that we be able to present our case together and that we're ready to go the 19th. We'd be ready by the 7th, but it's not our preference.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay.

MR. HOOVER: Your Honor, for ASkyB, we are willing to work with the schedules of both sides. We

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

do have some scheduling issues the first two weeks of 1 April, but I think the way it's playing out that's 2 because probably not going to issue be an 3 anticipate having a very narrow focus and going to the 4 end of the case, perhaps only for two days on the 5 So whatever the Panel local prima facie issue. 6 I'm sensitive to Mr. decides would end up being fine. 7 Glick's schedule and his client as well as to the 8 desire to start on the 17th on the other party and I 9 would hope that we would work something out so that 10 everybody gives a little, but nobody has to give up a 11 lot. So that's our sentiment. 12 We also agree that there does not need to 13 be 30 days between the direct case and the rebuttal. 14 I don't think the point of this is to create a second 15 different case. I think a week for written rebuttal 16 and then a week for additional rebuttal can be 17 You had suggested one week. handled. 18 We think two weeks would be suggesting a month. 19 20 ample.

NEAL R. GROSS

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH:

MS. BEHAN:

Thank you.

I just have one thing on the

21

22

rebuttal case. We do think that we need the 30 days. 1 I think that's the minimum that the rebuttal case has 2 ever taken in these types of proceedings and it has to 3 do, in part, with the fact that there's a right to 4 discovery, a right to make objections and there may be 5 actually motions that you'll have to rule on. 6 to present and we do plan great deal 7 testimony, if necessary, to deal with rebuttal issues. 8 So we think 30 days is going to be necessary. 9 be something we'll know more about as we get through 10 the case, but we're not as optimistic as they are. 11 That being said, I agree that we want to try to work 12 something out that's reasonable, given the likelihood 13 will arise all through conflicts that of 14 proceeding. 15 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right. Did you 16 have a comment you wanted to make? 17 I just wanted to reiterate the 18 MS. WOODS: Based on our experience in the point on rebuttal. 19 Cable CARP which I think was as extensive as this one, 20 although there are more parties here today, by the 21 time -- the same lawyers are preparing the written 22

submitting them and there are 1 rebuttal cases, requirements in the rules. I won't go through all of 2 3 them, but as to rights on discovery, timing and motions and we just don't see how that could possibly 4 be accommodated in a week or two. 5 I'm sitting here 6 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 7 reminding myself of all the times that I am an Arbitrator now and not a Circuit Court Judge. 8 9 would never have occurred, as you understand. would have -- I would have set the times, the periods 10 and things like that, but we are attempting to 11 accommodate you in any way possible. 12 A great fear that we have at the moment is 1.3 that we're going to accommodate you, we're going to 14 make you very happy and finish the case on August 27th 15 and have to write our opinion and so forth and turn it 16 in by the 29th. 17 Do you have any comments you want to make 18 before we --19 THE HON. COOLEY: Would it help to start 20 I have the same fear as Judge anything sooner? 21 22 Are there going to be opening statements,

1 for example? is there going to be an 2 statement that proceeds the Claimant's case and is that going to take a day, two days, an hour? 3 I think from our side no more 4 MS. BEHAN: than an hour, an hour and a half which is why we 5 anticipated doing it on the same day that our case 6 7 begins. Some letter that came 8 THE HON. COOLEY: 9 said something about through here maybe 10 something later this week. Is that a possibility to get anything started this week? I have cleared this 11 12 week out based upon that. The other thing is, as I understand what's 13 happening here, I think that people are starting to 14 15 talk about starting on April 7th. Is that what I'm 16 hearing? That's their side. 17 MS. BEHAN: Our position is the 19th. 18 THE HON. GULIN: You wish to start on the 19 19th if your case is not broken up. Is that your 20 concern, that these few days in April that they're 21 requesting would disrupt your case? 22

1	MS. BEHAN: Absolutely. I think that
2	they're requesting an entire week to 10 days to
3	between our cases and I think that that wold be
4	distracting for everybody in terms of the
5	presentation, but we do think it might prejudice us a
6	little bit we don't know what the unavailability is,
7	the week of the 10th. We'd be willing to move up, I
8	think, subject to me confirming to the 13th and start
9	our whole case on the 13th if that's a possibility.
10	MR. GLIST: The 13th of what?
11	MS. BEHAN: The 13th of March.
12	THE HON. GULIN: Yes.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I think we're
14	available. We can start on the 13th. The only
15	what we had planned to do with our proposal beginning
16	on the 17th is that would give us an opportunity now
17	to spend the next few days going through all of the
18	documents pertaining to your direct case.
19	However, beginning I'm available to
20	start on the 13th.
21	THE HON. GULIN: Well, we're available,
22	but you understand that we're not available the week

1 of the 17th, so if you're concerned about breaking up 2 your case --3 MS. BEHAN: That was the week that we had 4 agreed to start. 5 THE HON. GULIN: The week of the 10th, I apologize. 6 MS. BEHAN: We're available to start on 7 the 13th. 8 9 MR. GLIST: The 13th is fine. 10 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: As a matter of fact, we could -- the only reason, quite frankly, 11 12 well, there are several reasons, but if we wanted to 13 pick up some days we can certainly go on Fridays if it's agreeable to you. I prefer the opportunity to 14 15 have Fridays to catch up on anything with respect to I do have a life which I like to keep abreast 16 this. 17 of, so we could, we certainly could, for instance, we could go on the 13th, we could go, if available, that 18 Friday as well, the 14th and then begin the next week, 19 the 17th, going Monday through Thursday. 20 21 MS. BEHAN: That would work quite well with us, yes. 22

1	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: How about over
2	here? Would that be agreeable with you?
3	MR. GLIST: I thought you had a witness
4	conflict.
5	MS. BEHAN: If we start the 13th, then the
6	conflict is erased.
7	MR. GLIST: The 17th through the 20th,
8	that would be acceptable, sure.
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: We start on March
10	13th. WE go March 14th as well and we pick up again
11	on March 17th and we go Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday and
12	Thursday of each week thereafter until we get into
13	your situation in April.
14	THE HON. COOLEY: I just want to point out
15	there is one date in April, it's a Monday that I
16	unfortunately can't be available. That's April 14th.
17	So we could start on Tuesday of that week, if that's
18	a week that we're all planning to get together.
19	MR. GLIST: Your Honor, do I understand
20	that Mr. Paul's schedule and my vacation of March 24
21	is off?
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Well, why don't we

do this, now that we have heard that you would be in 1 a position to start your case on the 13th, and we have 2 heard what your requests are with respect to the April 3 dates and so forth, why don't you give us 10 minutes 4 and let us go in now and try to come up with a 5 Is that agreeable? proposed schedule. 6 MR. GLIST: That's agreeable. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Tell us once more, you have, I know, two days where you have to teach. 9 MR. GLIST: Yes, April 3rd and 4th are the 10 March 24th through 28th is a pre-11 PLI courses. 12 emptable vacation, but one at personal cost. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right. 13 MS. BEHAN: Your Honor, I just wanted to 14 say that if we started on the 13th, I think it's 15 16 possible that we could finish the early part of the week of his vacation, if not before, and we'd be 17 willing to try to accommodate there and try to finish 18 our case, because then if there's a split it doesn't 19 prejudice one party or the other because we've split 20 21 at the end of our case. The one possibility is that we need to go 22

1	a Saturday to get to the 24th, but I know that's not
2	people's preferences.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: It's a possibility.
4	MS. BEHAN: But anyway, I think that makes
5	it much more likely that we'll be able to accommodate
6	their concerns.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Do you withdraw
8	your objection with respect to the 3rd and 4th?
9	MS. BEHAN: Yes, if we start on the 13th,
10	we withdraw our objection to the 3rd and 4th.
11	THE HON. COOLEY: Just so I understand,
12	you'd go through the whole week of the 17th, including
13	Friday and possibly Saturday.
14	MS. BEHAN: Yes.
15	MR. GLIST: I'm sorry, Your Honor. March
16	21st is a religious holy day in the Bahai Faith and
17	that would be blacked out for me.
18	MS. BEHAN: Okay, then we'd go on the 24th
19	and 25th, so he'd miss a little bit of his vacation,
20	but have the last
21	THE HON. COOLEY: Where are you going?
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Why don't one of
- 1	1

you and I already have a volunteer standing in the back apparently --(Laughter.) -- so that we can be clear that we have an understanding now with respect to this, why don't one of you simply state for us what is our schedule going to be. Okay. MS. BEHAN: CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Start on the 13th. MR. GLIST: to be considered at that time.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

My understanding is that we would begin on the 13th with short opening statements. There would also probably be some preliminary motions There might also be motions in advance of each witness later on in the hearing. We would be the 13th and 14th. We would begin again on the 17th of March and go through thursday, the 20th. At that point, we would expect that the presentation of the direct case of the Copyright Owners is likely to conclude, but we could use a weekend day, if necessary.

I think that that's too few MS. BEHAN: days, because of taking out the 21. We've got 13

1	witnesses, the lion's share of the witnesses and we're
2	trying already to fit them into nine days. But if we
3	take off the 21st and the 22nd, it seems to me we'll
4	have to go into the 24th and 25th. So as I understand
5	it, our proposal would be to start the 13th and we
6	would anticipate at least putting on one witness that
7	day, in addition to openings, going to the 14th,
8	perhaps the 15th, if necessary, the 17th through the
9	20th, the 24th and 25th.
10	MS. WOODS: We could do the 22nd?
11	MS. BEHAN: Yes, the 17th through the
12	20th, the 22nd, the 24th and 25th.
13	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay. that would
14	be the presentation of the Owners?
15	MS. BEHAN: Yes.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: In other words, at
17	the very latest the 25th provided we didn't go on
18	Saturday?
19	MS. BEHAN: Right, there's some ambiguity
20	because cross may be longer than our directs, but
21	that's what we anticipate, that's our guess.
22	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay. The proposal

with respect to the other party? 1 2 MR. GLIST: If the direct case of the Copyright Owners is concluded at that time, we could 3 certainly begin the presentation of our witnesses on 4 March 1st, April 1st and go through mid-afternoon on 5 6 the 2nd. 7 It might be preferable in order to not have them interrupted we have witnesses who we expect 8 will take four days of hearing, if I anticipate my 9 cross properly. It might be better to simply begin 10 them on April 7 and run through that Thursday. 11 April 1st, April 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 13 2nd and begin on April 7th and go through the thursday the 10th? 14 I think, sir, what I was 15 MR. GLIST: suggesting is that because our case would be also 16 interrupted if we began on the 1st, although that's 17 18 possible if -- it might be better to begin our case on the 7th which would give four uninterrupted hearing 19 days so that the presentation of our case and the 20 cross examination would likely be concluded during 21 that week. 22

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: If you began on the 1 7th, you think you could conclude on the 10th? 2 3 MR. GLIST: Yes sir. MR. HOOVER: And we could follow with what 4 we would expect would be two days on the local issue 5 and the 14th is unavailable to one of th Panels so we 6 could fill the 15th and 16th. 7 8 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 4/15 and 4/16 is what you're asking. 9 I would like to -- the MR. STEWART: 10 Intellectual Property Panel that I'm speaking on on 11 the 9th, if it were possible to make the case on the 12 Your Honor, I'm willing to accommodate 13 1st whatever schedule works out. 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you. 1.5 MR. GARRETT: I just remind everyone that 16 April 1st is also opening day. 17 I'd just make two comments MS. BEHAN: 18 which is one, we would anticipate that there would be 19 openings at the start of each of their cases and in 20 light of that, the dates that they set out, 4 and 2, 21 and in light of the cross that we anticipate, maybe a 22

little optimistic. Now we don't have any problem with 1 the dates starting as Mr. Stewart indicated on the 1st 2 3 and just going, continuously, but there may be a 4 little room in there. I'm not sure that we're going 5 to end on the 16th. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I just want to ask 6 7 one other question. Am I to conclude from these 8 discussions then that all of the presentation of the 9 case, direct cases are going to be concluded by April 16th? 10 Approximately that date, yes, 11 MS. BEHAN: 16th to the 18th perhaps. 12 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: That's much better 13 than I thought. 14 15 Why don't you give us about 10 minutes and see if we can't -- don't go far. We won't take long. 16 Just to make sure we have it straight and that we are 17 18 available. MR. HOOVER: Your Honor, I wanted to raise 19 -- we sent in a letter yesterday regarding segmenting 20 21 off the local issue on our two days. We weren't planning to participate in the process. 22 I don't know

1	whether you'd like to take the ten minutes to get the
2	schedule penned out and then deal with that or whether
3	you wanted to deal with that now.
4	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Why don't we get
5	the schedule penned out?
6	MR. HOOVER: Very good.
7	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Thank you.
8	(Off the record.)
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, ladies and
10	gentlemen, we have had a five minute recess. What we
11	propose is this: that we begin with the Owners on
12	March 13th, March 14th, March 17th through the 20th
13	and if necessary, March 24 and 25 at which time you
14	will have concluded your case. All right?
15	We will begin with Users over here, April
16	7th through the 10th. Okay?
17	How do I identify
18	MR. HOOVER: ASkyB.
19	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, ASkyB. April
20	15 and April 16. At that time that will conclude the
21	direct presentation of the direct cases.
22	I have not and I presume my colleagues are

1	not in the time period which are provided by the
2	regulations if there are any concerning the break
3	between, if there is such a break between the
4	presentation of the direct case and the rebuttal case.
5	You wanted a month, someone over here said we could do
6	it in two weeks.
7	MS. WOODS: Could we submit proposals to
8	you, perhaps?
9	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Why don't you do
10	that instead of wasting a lot of time here this
11	morning, back and forth.
12	MS. WOODS: We'll see if we can agree and
13	if not, we'll give you written proposals.
14	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: We have an
15	agreement now, an understanding with respect to the
16	presentation of the direct cases.
17	Okay? Now just one other thing and let me
18	see, I don't want to open up anything unusual, but I
19	just want to know, are there any preliminary matters
20	which need to be resolved today? Quite frankly, we
21	have not had an opportunity to review your cases,
22	direct cases yet.

MR. HOOVER: Your Honor, the letter that we sent in yesterday, dated March 3, American Sky Broadcasting, limiting the testimony of the ASkyB witnesses to the local transmission issues and I think everyone is in agreement that it's fine if we go at the end of the direct case.

The Copyright Owners had a chance to address in their direct testimony the local issues. There was an additional 60 days granted and you may have seen some of the papers on that for that specific purpose. It's our belief that they did not do so. They have not addressed the local issue and therefore we would not plan to clog up the proceeding by participating in cross up until our case is put on at which point they'll have a chance to cross examine our witnesses. We would want to have an understanding of the Panel that they would not be trying to put in testimony as part of the Copyright Owners affirmative case while we are not there beyond the absence of it in their direct testimony.

So we would essentially need a ruling from you that the Local issues would be dealt with at the

end of the case on the 15th and 16th and they could do as much cross as they want on that issue and that would eliminate the need for us to clog up the proceedings up until that point and we would not plan to be present for the proceedings up until that point.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Any response?

MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, Bob Garrett for the Joint Sports Claimants. First of all, I believe that our testimony and the testimony of other Copyright Owners does relate to relevant to the local issue here. ASkyB may not agree with that, that certainly is their choice, but when it comes time to writing out a proposed findings dealing with the local issue, we will be referencing testimony that we are going to be presenting during our direct case.

Secondly, I certainly agree with the statement that we may not put in evidence or testimony other than that which we've already submitted here and the rules deal with that. The rules make it clear that we may not materially supplement our written direct cases and that rule applies to us and it applies to everyone in this proceeding. If at any

time during the proceeding any counsel feels that the other side is attempting to materially supplement they are certainly free to make an objection at that point and it will require ASkyB's presence so during the hearing to make that objection.

Also, while I can understand their desire to segregate this as a special issue here, it is also something, as I said, we will be presenting evidence on and not only in our direct case, but we reserve the right to present evidence during rebuttal case, as well.

Let me also say that the local issue, as it's been identified here, raises a threshold of legal issues as well. It's an issue as to whether or not under the statute they're entitled to retransmit local signals locally or retransmit these signals into wide areas. We had raised that issue with the Copyright Office at the outset of this proceeding. We asked them to conduct a separate proceeding to deal with that issue. The Copyright Office chose not to do that. I believe they referred that issue to you.

We will be submitting a motion directed to

that threshold legal issue at the conclusion of the direct cases. We think it's important that the Panel have the opportunity to hear our evidence, their evidence. We will be submitting a motion during that threshold legal issue at the conclusion of the ASkyB case.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right.

MS. WOODS: Michelle Woods, sorry --

Your Honor, with all due MR. HOOVER: respect, I think that it puts a different burden on you because their factual contention and they address the whole issue, you can look through their papers and not find any specific reference to the adjustment of rates to the local and they mention the word "local" but it doesn't get to the core issues as presented in our direct testimony. It puts you in a difficult position because I can stand up and say well, we've referred to it somewhere, therefore they should have to spend the next four weeks sitting here waiting to see whether we try to sneak something in and frankly, Your Honor, they'll have ample opportunity on cross to deal with this in terms of the legal motion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

made that motion before as Mr. Garrett said and it was but I really think in the interest denied, efficiency of this proceeding and the fairness, quite frankly, and the difference between the two issues, that we would like to be assured that the local issue is dealt with on April 15, 16, 17 and if another day is needed and that it be dealt with at that time. Otherwise, you're going to have constant problems with -- first of all, we would be forced to sit through the entire thing and we don't intend to cross examine on the distant issue at all and it will constantly be a battle of having to listen to see if the word "local" is mentioned and then jumping up and my guess is you would end up ruling, let's take care of that at the end anyway.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Yes.

MS. WOODS: Yes, Your Honor, Michelle Woods for the Public Broadcasting Service. I just wanted to reiterate that or to join with Mr. Garrett in saying that we also plan to file a motion on the threshold legal issue at the conclusion of the direct cases and also that we do agree that our evidence

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

addresses the value of local signals as well as distant.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay. If I understand, you both intend, both parties here, the Owners and Users, to make motions at the conclusion of your direct case, your case concerning the local issue and something may come up, but what he's asking quite frankly is to have a determination made or wait until the end of your respective direct cases, segregate that out and actually hear on April 15th, 16th and if necessary the 17th the matter which has been raised with respect to the local issues.

Do you have any comment on --

MR. STEWART: Yes, Your Honor, I believe counsel can correct me if I'm wrong, but it was the position of a number of the Copyright Owners, including ours, that this quote local issue should not be resolved at all in this proceeding. We ask it to be a separate proceeding.

It is the position of ASkyB that it should, in fact, be part of this proceeding. It sounds to me like what counsel is asking you to do is

determination as to the relevance of all the evidence in this proceeding which he has to have consolidated with his issue in advance of hearing any of that evidence and that seems not to be appropriate.

MR. REMINGTON: Your Honor, Mike Remington on behalf of BMI. I associate myself with the views of Mr. Stewart.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay.

THE HON. GULIN: I'd like to put a question to you, sir, ASkyB. What is it specifically you are looking for us to do? You want to grant a motion in limine that you may not address, that no one may address certain evidence and if that's the case, who is going to be here to enforce that motion if it does arise?

MR. HOOVER: We would be happy to make a formal motion in limine. In fact, we challenge anyone to point to the references in a brief where this is substantively addressed. There was a 60-day extension in the filing of direct testimony, specifically to deal with the local issues, for whatever strategic

There's been a

reason it was not dealt with and in fact, there were some papers put in saying they'd like to supplement later which demonstrates that it was not dealt with and so yes, we would like to make a motion to deal with the local issue at the conclusion on April 15, 16 and 17 if necessary and the two issues are very distinct, referenced by the fact they're saying they want to have a separate proceeding. ruling it should be part of this proceeding, but that doesn't mean that it can't occur at the end of the So we would like to make that motion. be happy to submit it in writing within a day or two and they would have an opportunity then to show you where they have supposedly dealt with this issue, because I don't think it's proper to expand under the rules the scope of direct.

Well, CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: you're asking, in a sense, for us to do something at this moment when we haven't even had an opportunity to review the material which has been filed by the respective parties in support of their cases, their direct cases.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MR. HOOVER: I understand. 1 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: What I'm going to 2 suggest to you then is why don't the respective 3 parties file, you file your motion, they can respond 4 if they wish in writing and we will address that 5 matter at th earliest available time beginning no 6 earlier than March 13th at which time hopefully, we, 7 that is, each of the Arbitrators will have had an 8 opportunity to review in detail the cases in direct 9 which have been submitted to us. 10 MR. HOOVER: Very well, Your Honor. 11 Wе are in the position to file that by this Friday. Ιf 12 you want to go ahead and establish a schedule for the 13 response, perhaps you'd be in a position to rule 14 sooner than the morning of the start of the March 13th 15 1.6 GRIFFITH: How about 17 CHAIRPERSON 18 response by the close of business on the -- that only gives them what, if you file it on Friday, the 7th, 19 that only gives them 3 days to consider it and 20 21 respond.

MR. HOOVER:

Your Honor, we'll move our

1	filing up to Thursday. We'll file on the 6th.
2	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Now we've got one
3	more day.
4	(Laugher.)
5	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I'm not going to
6	require them to respond in that short a period of
7	time. Do you agree? I've got to start getting
8	agreement on my co
9	THE HON. COOLEY: Unless there's no
10	objection.
11	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Do you have any
12	objection? If you can do it by like Tuesday or
13	something that's fine.
14	MR. GARRETT: Your Honor, without having
15	seen the motion, it's difficult to know. I still am
16	not certain I understand what this motion is.
17	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Yes.
18	MR. HOOVER: Your Honor, the motion will
19	be very simple. We will point out that it has not
20	been addressed to this point. it will then be up to
21	them to attempt to convince you that it has been
22	addressed. It will be a very short motion. There is

a record in the case already in terms of what was 1 submitted, what wasn't. We said we would object to 2 attempt to try to expand direct. So I would suggest 3 we'll file a very short motion on thursday and ask 4 that it be decided by the time we would have to get 5 6 the corporate denial to come and participate in the 7 hearing. Your Honor, with all due 8 MS. WOODS: respect I would expect a response might take some 9 additional time because we really will have to go 10 through the substance of our testimony if I understand 11 the motion we may be receiving to point out where we 12 believe we have addressed issues that relate to 13 ASkyB's request and that might take some time. 14 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Let us have just 15 16 one minute here. 17 (Pause.) GRIFFITH: 18 CHAIRPERSON gentlemen, ASkyB, if you want to file your motion by 19 the close of business on Thursday, I will direct the 20 other parties to respond to your motion by the close 21 of business on Wednesday the 12th and the Arbitrators 22

Ladies

and

will determine your motion as soon as 1 thereafter. You may have to be here for the first few 2 3 days, if we rule against you, you may have to be here all of the time. 4 We'll submit by thursday. 5 MR. HOOVER: All right, fine. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 6 Are there any other matters that we need to address at 7 this particular time or are we ready to adjourn until 8 10 o'clock a.m. on March 13th? 9 MR. GLIST: Your Honor, I do have a 10 matter, I am assuming that motions with respect to a 11 particular witness's testimony would be dealt with 12 when that witness is present. However, there is 13 certain evidence that has been proffered to you by PBS 14 and by MPAA for which there is no sponsoring witness 15 and there are 9 witnesses whose testimony from prior 16 proceedings has been incorporated by reference. 17 Under the rules under which we have been 18 19 operating, there has been no opportunity There is no voir. There is no sponsor. 20 discovery. There is no cross examination. And I could at some 21 time that you designate, make an appropriate motion to 22

deal with this, but I would like to know whether I should be dealing with it today, opening day of hearing, in writing, orally, as you wish.

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: All right, yes?

MS. WOODS: Your Honor, Michelle Woods, responding on behalf of PBS and I believe n behalf of MPAA as well, although Mr. Collins may supplement my remarks.

It is our view that this issue was briefed and ruled on by the Copyright Office previously and that this evidence is admissible in this proceeding for the purposes that are set forth in the Rules that govern this proceeding, so we don't feel further briefing is appropriate at this time.

MR. GLIST: Your Honor, Ms. Woods is correct that we did file a motion before the Copyright Office and we were basically told the rule says you can incorporate by reference. They're incorporating by reference. I think what we are raising is something that goes to a fundamental due process right of confrontation. If you wish to deal with it as though it is a weight of the evidence to be accorded

to a party that has not been subjected to cross 1 examination, we could live with that, but we think 2 that there's a fundamental problem that needs to be 3 With due respect to the fact that we 4 addressed. briefed it to the Copyright Office and got referred to 5 the rule, we think there's something wrong with 6 applying that rule to this proceeding. A rule that is 7 their 8 applicable among those parties in own distribution proceedings when year after year, they 9 raise the same issues among themselves is one thing. 10 Raising that in a case in which parties like us who 11 never participate in those distribution proceedings 12 for 13 telling there's just no chance and us confrontation or examination or discovery is quite 14 1.5 what we're raising another. And so understand what the regulation says because 16 Copyright Office has told us. What we're raising with 17 you all is we think that's wrong. We think those nine 18 witnesses' testimony should not be considered as 19 evidence in this proceeding. 20 21

CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Okay, one more and then I just want to ask a question -- let me ask my

question first. 1 At this juncture today, how could we 2 possibly rule on that? Isn't that something that's 3 4 going to have to come up as the party presents the witness or th testimony or the evidence offers it at 5 which time you will have to object to it? 6 7 Now having asked this question or made this statement, let me confer with my colleagues and 8 9 see if they agree? Okay? 10 (Pause.) Okay, I apologize for just responding, I 11 12 should consult with them first. Bad habit. I'll get 13 over it. 14 So my answer, I guess, is quite frankly and I presume my co-Arbitrators agree is it appears to 15 me not having had the opportunity, quite frankly, to 16 17 read the material you're talking about, is that that is something that is going to have to be addressed as 18 the witness or the evidence is offered at that time. 19 There is no witness which is 20 MR. GLIST: the only reason I'm raising it with you today. 21 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: 22 There are some

1	instances where there is no witness. All right.
2	MR. GLIST: Nine.
3	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Nine. Okay. Then
4	we will have to set aside a time if we are going
5	unless it has previous unless we determine after
6	having reviewed the information which has been
7	provided to us by the Copyright Office that it has
8	been ruled on, and if we do so determine, then we
9	will, I presume, uphold their ruling, otherwise we'll
10	have to set aside a time to hear you out on that.
11	I don't think we can do that today.
12	MR. GLIST: Okay, I understand that, Your
13	Honor.
14	MS. WOODS: Yes, we would want the
15	opportunity to brief that again if it is raised again.
16	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: I understand.
17	Okay.
18	MR. GLIST: I had two other suggestions
19	that I would like to offer.
20	CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: So far we've taken
21	away your vacation, now what else
22	(Laugher.)

I'm not faring very well. MR. GLIST: 1 have been trying to economize on hearing time as you 2 have already seen. And what we wanted to do is just 3 alert the parties that we are going to remove a Mr. 4 Frazier as a witness. We've looked at the evidence 5 that's been presented by all of the parties and we've 6 concluded that it would just not be worth the time to 7 Not very long testimony, but we're 8 advance that. 9 trying to remove what we can. second point is that it might 10 My facilitate the cross examination of witnesses if all 11 parties who have not already submitted direct cases 12 with line numbers submitted them with line numbers. 13 Some parties have and some have not, but it, I think, 14 would expedite cross examination if the pre-filed 15 written direct could simply be resubmitted with line 16 numbers associated with the testimony. 17 CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: Educate me, is 18 there any provision where we can require that? 19 I think, Your Honor, that you MR. GLIST: 20 can conduct this proceeding as you think best can be 21 conducted. 22

1 MS. WOODS: There's nothing in the rules 2 that required us to submit our direct case that way and frankly, we would hope not to be put to the burden 3 4 of doing that at this time. CHAIRPERSON GRIFFITH: The motion is 5 denied. 6 7 Anything further? All right, ladies and gentlemen, thank you very, very much. We will see you 8 promptly, ready to go on Thursday, March 13th at 10 9 10 o'clock a.m. in this room. Keep in mind, please that there may be a time during the rebuttal it appears now 11 where we would seek out some other space, but as it 12 13 stands right now, everything will be conducted right 14 here. 15 (Whereupon, at 12:08p.m., the pre-hearing conference was concluded.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript in

the matter of:

Prehearing Conference: Satellite Rate

Adjustment, Docket No. 96-3 CARP-5RA

Before:

Library of Congress

Copyright Arbitration Royalty Panel

Date:

March 4, 1997

Place:

Washington, DC

represents the full and complete proceedings of the aforementioned matter, as reported and reduced to typewriting.

Time Gray

